Paper PM01

The Human Element: Synergising Emotional and Physical Intelligence in Statistical Programming Leadership

Harris Kampouris, MSD (UK) Limited, London, United Kingdom
Lino Jardim, MSD, Zürich, Switzerland

ABSTRACT
In statistical programming teams, where technical expertise is paramount, emotional quotient (EQ) and physical quotient (PQ) emerge as crucial leadership tools. Leaders with high EQ excel in fostering collaboration, managing conflicts, and creating supportive environments. By understanding emotions and empathizing with team members, they build trust and psychological safety. PQ complements EQ by focusing on physical well-being, enhancing cognitive function, and stress management. The synergy of EQ and PQ in leadership enhances decision-making, balancing logical rigor with emotional awareness and physical resilience. As statistical programming evolves in fast-paced AI-driven environments, integrating EQ and PQ ensures sustainable success. This holistic strategy harmonizes technical excellence with human-centred leadership, optimizing team performance, fostering innovation, and driving efficient code development. The combination of EQ and PQ ultimately leads to superior outcomes and sustained organizational success to build high-performing, resilient teams ready to meet emerging challenges in the statistical programming field.

INTRODUCTION
The landscape of leadership in statistical programming has undergone significant transformation over recent decades, evolving from purely technical oversight to a more nuanced approach that recognizes the human dimensions of team performance. (1) Traditionally, leadership in statistical programming environments prioritized technical proficiency, methodological rigor, and regulatory compliance as the primary metrics of success. This technical-first paradigm emerged naturally from the precision-oriented nature of the field, where accuracy, traceability, and reproducibility are paramount concerns in supporting clinical trials and regulatory submissions.

However, as the complexity of statistical programming has increased – particularly with the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning (ML), as well as the evolution of the role from coding to analyst and from mono-lingual to open-source multilingual required skills – the limitations of purely technical leadership approaches have become increasingly apparent. Technical expertise alone proves insufficient when navigating the multi-level challenges of modern programming environments, which require adaptive problem-solving, cross-functional collaboration, and flexibility in the face of rapidly changing requirements. (2) The acceleration of development timelines, coupled with increasing regulatory scrutiny and methodological complexity, has created an environment where technical skills represent necessary but insufficient conditions for leadership effectiveness. (3)

This recognition has catalysed a shift towards human-centred leadership paradigms within statistical programming teams, acknowledging that behind every line of code and statistical output stands a human being with emotions, physical needs, and social requirements that significantly impact performance. (4) This approach recognizes that optimizing team performance requires attention not only to technical systems, but also to human systems – the complex web of relationships, emotions, and physical states that drive innovation, collaboration, and resilience.

LITERATURE REVIEW
The theoretical basis for understanding leadership intelligence has evolved since Howard Gardner introduced his pioneering theory of multiple intelligences in 1983. Gardner's framework challenged the unidimensional view of intelligence, proposing instead that human cognitive capacity encompasses distinct types, including linguistic, logical-mathematical, spatial, musical, bodily-kinesthetic, interpersonal, intrapersonal, and naturalistic intelligences. This multifaceted approach to intelligence provided the theoretical groundwork for later developments in leadership intelligence frameworks, particularly the recognition that effective leadership draws upon multiple forms of intelligence beyond the traditional cognitive abilities. (5)

Emotional intelligence emerged as a critical dimension of leadership effectiveness through the pioneering work of researchers like Peter Salovey and John Mayer, who defined EQ as "the ability to monitor one's own and other's feelings and emotions, to discriminate among them and to use this information to guide one's thinking and actions". (6) Daniel Goleman subsequently popularized and expanded this concept, proposing a five-component model encompassing self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. (7) Research in technical leadership contexts has consistently demonstrated that leaders with high emotional intelligence excel in fostering collaboration, managing conflicts, and creating psychologically safe environments where innovation can flourish. (3)

In parallel with developments in emotional intelligence, research on physical intelligence (PQ) has gained momentum as scholars and practitioners recognized the interconnection between physical states and cognitive performance. Physical intelligence encompasses the ability to recognize and actively manage physiological states, thereby optimizing cognitive function, enhance stress resilience, and improving decision-making capacity. In workplace contexts, physical intelligence manifests through attention to posture, movement, breathing patterns, and physiological regulation – all of which significantly impact leadership effectiveness. (8) Leaders who develop physical intelligence demonstrate enhanced cognitive performance, stress resilience, and decision-making capacity, particularly in high-pressure environments, such as the one of statistical programming.

Despite substantial evidence supporting the individual contributions to emotional and physical intelligence to leadership effectiveness, a significant gap exists in integrating these frameworks, specifically for statistical programming contexts. The unique challenges of statistical programming – including high cognitive load, regulatory pressure, technical and scientific complexity – create an environment where the synergistic application of EQ and PQ could yield particularly significant benefits. This gap presents both a research opportunity and a practical need for developing integrated EQ-PQ approaches tailored to the specific demands of statistical programming leadership.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF EQ IN TECHNICAL LEADERSHIP

EQ THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

In technical environments, leadership often emphasizes logical reasoning, problem-solving, and technical proficiency. However, EQ theory emphasizes that emotional competencies are equally critical for effective leadership, particularly in influencing, collaborating, and managing change. (9) Two complementary EQ models provide foundational understanding for technical leaders: Goleman's behavioural model (practical, leadership-focused) and Salovey & Mayer's ability model (cognitive processing of emotion).

Goleman's Behavioural Model

Goleman's behavioural model encompasses five core dimensions: self-awareness, self-regulation, motivation, empathy, and social skills. Each dimension holds particular relevance in technical settings:

- **Self-awareness** helps leaders spot biases, knowledge gaps, and emotional triggers that skew technical decisions. Daily emotion "check-ins" create vocabulary to re-assess choices under pressure, enabling more objective decision-making in complex analytical environments.
- **Self-regulation** enables composure during failures or tight deadlines. "Trigger identification" exercises help manage stress responses in release crises, maintaining team stability and clear thinking at technical challenges.
- **Motivation** provides the intrinsic drive that sustains effort through long innovation cycles. Framing setbacks as learning accelerates team persistence, particularly important in statistical programming where iterative problem-solving is fundamental.
- **Empathy** bridges cross-functional communication, such as between statisticians and clinicians. "Perspective rotation" – restating another's viewpoint before replying – builds trust and facilitates the translation of complex technical concepts across disciplines.
- **Social skills** are essential for stakeholder alignment, conflict resolution, and psychological safety. Negotiating resources by combining technical rationale with relational influence exemplifies how EQ competencies enhance technical leadership effectiveness. (7)

Salovey & Mayer's Ability Model

The ability model focuses on four core abilities: perceiving emotions, using emotions to facilitate thought, understanding emotions, and managing emotions. These translate directly to statistical and programming leadership contexts:

- **Perceiving emotions** involves spotting sadness or frustration in colleagues' tone and body language, enabling early intervention before issues escalate.
- **Using emotions** to facilitate thought includes leveraging excitement for creative problem solving and using mild anxiety to sharpen analytical focus during critical reviews.
- **Understanding emotions** encompasses recognizing how frustration can escalate to anger if deadlines pile up, allowing proactive management of team dynamics.
- **Managing emotions** involves calming oneself before stressful review meetings to lead effectively, maintaining the composure necessary for clear technical communication. (10)

Neurological Foundations

The neurological basis of EQ demonstrates why these skills are both real and trainable. Key brain systems include the amygdala (emotional salience and threat detection), prefrontal cortex (PFC – reasoning and impulse control), anterior cingulate cortex (ACC – emotion regulation and empathy) (11), and mirror neuron system (intuitive understanding of others' actions and emotions). (12) Strong prefrontal cortex-amygdala connectivity enables pause-and-respond capabilities essential for self-regulation. Mirror neurons and anterior cingulate cortex facilitate active empathy and social attunement. Reward-circuit activation through dopamine underpins motivation and the contagion of enthusiasm within technical teams. These neurological foundations translate into practical leadership applications: self-regulation through pausing before responding and mindfulness practices; empathy development through active listening and acknowledging emotions (13); enhanced decision-making by noticing emotional signals and gathering diverse perspectives; effective conflict resolution through neutral positioning and solution-focused dialogue; and team inspiration through celebrating wins and sharing compelling visions.

Measuring EQ in Technical Leadership – Framework Overview

Establishing a comprehensive framework for measuring EQ in technical leadership requires systematic integration of theoretical constructs with practical assessment methodologies.

- **Define Constructs**: EQ dimensions from Goleman and Mayer models must be mapped to technical leadership competencies including decision-making under uncertainty, communication of complex concepts, conflict resolution, change management, and mentoring. For example, self-awareness translates to recognizing stress effects on technical judgment. (14)
- **Map Theory to Competencies**: Each EQ dimension requires operationalization within technical contexts. Self-awareness becomes recognizing biases in statistical interpretation; self-regulation involves maintaining analytical objectivity under pressure; empathy encompasses adapting complex explanations to diverse stakeholder understanding levels.
- **Measurement Components**: Observable behaviours must be identified for each EQ dimension within technical contexts, creating measurable indicators that reflect emotional intelligence competencies specific to statistical programming environments.
- **Assessment Instruments**: Validated EQ tools require adaptation to technical scenarios, utilizing established measures such as EQ-i 2.0 and MSCEIT (15) alongside bespoke 360-degree leadership surveys designed for technical environments. These instruments must capture both general emotional intelligence and domain-specific applications.
- **Data Sources**: Comprehensive assessment incorporates multiple perspectives including self-assessment, 360-degree feedback, behavioural observation through simulations, and performance metrics reflecting innovation outcomes and team retention rates.
- **Assessment Process**: Implementation involves selecting and adapting instruments, collecting multi-source data, conducting qualitative interviews and case reviews, analysing data both quantitatively (factor analysis, correlations) and qualitatively, then providing individualized feedback and development plans through coaching and targeted training.
- **Example Indicators** demonstrate practical application: self-awareness manifests as recognizing stress-driven decision errors; self-regulation appears as maintaining composure during project crises; empathy shows in adapting communication approaches to diverse team needs; social skills emerge through facilitating effective cross-team collaboration.

EI Skill	Neurological Basis	Leadership Benefit	Practical Tips
Self-Regulation	PFC inhibits amygdala	Professionalism, trust	Pause, mindfulness, journaling, model calm
Empathy	Mirror neurons, ACC	Trust, morale, safety	Active listening, open questions, validate emotions
Decision-Making	PFC & amygdala integration	Effective choices	Notice emotions, consult others, delay decisions, frameworks
Conflict Resolution	PFC, ACC	Team cohesion	Stay neutral, “I” statements, dialogue, solution-focus
Motivation	Reward circuits	Engagement, performance	Celebrate wins, share vision, show enthusiasm, growth

EQ DIMENSIONS SPECIFIC TO STATISTICAL PROGRAMMING

Conflict Navigation in Technical Environments

The Thomas-Kilmann Conflict Mode Instrument identifies five conflict-handling modes: competing, collaborating, compromising, avoiding, and accommodating. EQ dimensions significantly influence which mode individuals adopt. High empathy and social skills promote collaboration, while low self-regulation might lead to competing or avoiding behaviours. Understanding these modes alongside EQ helps tailor conflict responses to maintain productivity and innovation in technical teams. (16)

Emotional Labor Theory addresses the management of emotions to fulfil job roles, particularly relevant in high-stress technical environments. EQ helps individuals perform emotional labor effectively, reducing burnout and improving conflict outcomes. Self-regulation and empathy prove critical for managing emotional labor during conflicts, enabling sustained performance under pressure. (17)

Social Exchange Theory posits that social behaviour results from exchange processes aimed at maximizing benefits and minimizing costs. EQ dimensions like empathy and social skills enhance positive exchanges, fostering trust and reducing conflict. In technical teams, this theory explains how emotionally intelligent behaviours create cooperative environments essential for complex problem-solving. (18)

Cognitive Appraisal Theory demonstrates how conflict perception is influenced by individuals' emotional appraisals of situations. Self-awareness and self-regulation help individuals reframe conflicts positively, reducing emotional escalation. Empathy allows understanding others' appraisals, facilitating mutual resolution and maintaining team cohesion. (19)

Psychological Safety Engineering Framework

Psychological safety represents a critical concept in technical leadership, where innovation, collaboration, and risk-taking are essential. It refers to an environment where team members feel safe to take interpersonal risks – such as asking questions, admitting mistakes, or proposing new ideas – without fear of humiliation or punishment.

The framework encompasses six core components:

- **Assessment and Measurement** involves regularly measuring psychological safety levels using surveys, interviews, and observation. Technical leaders should utilize tools like Google's Project Aristotle survey or custom pulse surveys, analysing data to identify issues affecting team dynamics.
- **Design and Implementation** requires developing processes and rituals that promote safety, such as blameless postmortems and inclusive meetings. Establishing norms for respectful communication, encouraging questions, and normalizing failure as learning create environments conducive to technical innovation.
- **Leadership Modelling** demands that leaders demonstrate vulnerability, admit mistakes, and encourage feedback. Leading by example through sharing failures, asking for input, and responding constructively to dissent builds trust essential for effective technical collaboration.
- **Feedback Loops** establish continuous mechanisms to monitor and improve psychological safety through regular retrospectives and one-on-ones focused on team climate and interpersonal dynamics.
- **Training and Development** equips team members and leaders with skills in communication, empathy, and conflict resolution through workshops on active listening, unconscious bias, and feedback exchange.
- **Cultural Reinforcement** embeds psychological safety into organizational values and performance metrics, aligning hiring, promotion, and recognition with behaviours that support psychological safety.

Creating psychological safety requires deliberate, consistent action from technical leaders:

- Create a safe environment for failure by encouraging blameless postmortems after incidents and celebrating learning from mistakes. This approach transforms setbacks into growth opportunities, essential for statistical programming environments where iterative testing and refinement are fundamental.
- Encourage open communication through regularly asking for input and dissenting opinions while using inclusive language and avoiding interrupting team members. This creates space for diverse technical perspectives that enhance analytical rigor.
- Model vulnerability by sharing personal challenges and uncertainties while admitting when lacking knowledge. Technical leaders who demonstrate intellectual humility create permission for others to acknowledge knowledge gaps without shame.
- Establish clear norms through defining team agreements on respectful behaviour and setting expectations for meetings, such as "no idea is a bad idea." These structures provide predictability essential for cognitive-intensive work environments.
- Monitor and adapt using anonymous surveys to gauge psychological safety levels while acting on feedback promptly and visibly. Continuous measurement ensures that safety initiatives remain effective and responsive to team needs.

Psychological safety matters particularly in technical leadership because it enables complex problem solving through early problem surfacing, promotes innovation by creating safe experimentation environments, improves retention by reducing burnout and turnover, and enhances agility through open communication.

Motivational Alignment Theory

Motivational alignment refers to ensuring that individual motivation is congruent with the cognitive demands and goals of work. In cognitive-intensive tasks, motivation influences attention, persistence, creativity, and problem-solving effectiveness.

- **Self-Determination Theory** (SDT) emphasizes that motivation quality matters – intrinsic motivation driven by interest and enjoyment leads to better cognitive engagement than extrinsic motivation. Supporting autonomy, competence, and relatedness fosters intrinsic motivation in cognitive tasks essential to statistical programming. (20)
- **Expectancy-Value Theory** (EVT) demonstrates that motivation depends on expectancy (belief in success) and value (importance of task). Enhancing task value by linking cognitive work to meaningful outcomes and increasing expectancy through resources and feedback proves crucial for technical team performance. (21)
- **Goal-Setting Theory** (GST) shows that specific, challenging goals improve performance by directing attention and effort. Setting clear, attainable cognitive goals aligned with individual capabilities and organizational objectives maintains focus in complex analytical work. (22)
- **Cognitive Evaluation Theory** (CET), a sub-theory of Self-Determination Theory, establishes that external rewards can undermine intrinsic motivation if they reduce perceived autonomy. The core insight is that rewards must acknowledge competence without controlling behaviour. (23)

- **Flow Theory** (FT) indicates that optimal motivation and performance occur when challenge matches skill level. Designing cognitive tasks that balance difficulty, and skill maintains engagement crucial for sustained technical performance. (24)

An integrative framework for motivational alignment includes six steps:

Step	Description	Theoretical Basis	Practical Strategies
1. Assess Cognitive Demands	Identify complexity, required skills, and mental effort	EVT & FT	Task analysis, cognitive load measurement
2. Diagnose Motivational Drivers	Understand individual's intrinsic/extrinsic motivators and values	SDT & EVT	Surveys, interviews, motivational profiling
3. Align Goals and Values	Set clear, challenging goals that resonate with personal and organizational values	EVT & GST	Collaborative goal setting, value framing
4. Support Autonomy and Competence	Provide resources, feedback, and autonomy to enhance intrinsic motivation	SDT & CET	Coaching, autonomy-supportive leadership
5. Balance Challenge and Skill	Adjust task difficulty to maintain flow and engagement	FT	Adaptive task design, skill development programs
6. Monitor and Adjust	Continuously evaluate motivation and cognitive performance	All theories	Feedback loops, performance metrics, motivation surveys

This theoretical foundation demonstrates that EQ dimensions are not merely supplementary to technical competence but fundamental to effective leadership in statistical programming environments. The integration of emotional intelligence with technical expertise creates the conditions necessary for high-performing teams capable of navigating the complex challenges inherent in clinical trial data analysis and reporting.

THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PQ IN TECHNICAL LEADERSHIP

PHYSICAL INTELLIGENCE FRAMEWORK

The concept of physical intelligence has evolved from Gardner's original notion of bodily-kinesthetic intelligence, which focused primarily on physical skills and coordination. Newer physical intelligence frameworks expand this concept to encompass the bidirectional relationship between physical states and cognitive-emotional functioning. Physical intelligence (PQ) can be defined as "the ability to detect and actively manage the balance of certain key chemicals so that we can achieve more, stress less, and live and work more happily". This definition recognizes that physical states significantly influence cognitive performance, emotional regulation, and decision-making capacity – all critical dimensions of leadership effectiveness. (8)

The neurophysiological foundations of physical intelligence involve interconnected systems – such as the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, whose main function is to modulate various physiological processes such as the body's response to stress, immunity, metabolism, fertility, and the autonomic nervous system – that regulate stress responses and produce hormones like cortisol, which can impair cognitive flexibility and decision-making under chronic stress. In statistical programming, where innovative problem-solving is critical, these effects are particularly impactful. (25)

Assessing and measuring physical intelligence typically involves multiple dimensions including posture awareness, breathing patterns, energy management, and stress regulation capacity. Unlike emotional intelligence, which has established psychometric measures, physical intelligence assessment often relies on measurable physiological markers, observational methods, and self-report measures of bodily awareness – referring to the individual's ability to feel engaged by information coming from the body and noticing subtle changes. (26) The integration of wearable technology has enhanced the precision of physical intelligence assessment, allowing for real-time monitoring of physiological states that influence leadership performance. (27)

Physical Intelligence Assessments	Examples
Physiological markers	Heart rate, blood pressure, cortisol levels, skin temperature, etc…
Observational methods	Understanding surrounding environment, own body, etc…
Self-report measures of bodily awareness	Awareness of (un)comfortable, ability to sustain and control attention to body sensation, etc…

Physical intelligence includes 3 core dimensions:

I. Interoception: provides the foundation for physical intelligence by enabling awareness of bodily signals that influence decision-making and emotional states.
II. Physiological regulation: builds on this awareness by developing the capacity to adjust arousal states through techniques like controlled breathing, movement, and postural adjustments.

III. Embodied cognition: recognizes that physical experiences directly shape cognitive processes, with posture, movement, and physical environment significantly influencing decision-making patterns. (27)

An everyday life example could be the recognition of hunger in oneself (interoception), allowing them to regulate food intake (physiological regulation) and maintain appropriate energy levels (embodied cognition). In a statistical programming leadership environment, an example could be illustrated as a leader who notices physical anxiety – increased heart rate – prior to an important, regulatory meeting (interoception), who then uses deep breathing to reduce the stress levels (physiological regulation), and who projects calm with posture, gestures and tone to support the team meeting (embodied cognition).

While all 3 dimensions play a key role in physical intelligence, the importance of order is significant. In bottom-up approaches (interoception à physiological regulation à embodied cognition), internal sensations and body movement are the starting points for receiving information. Physical and sensory experiences help shape our sense of self, manage our emotions, and take part in everyday activities. In top-down approaches (embodied cognition à physiological regulation à interoception), the mind plays a bigger role by focusing attention on the body and trying to understand experiences. In this top-down approach experience changes through understanding, whereas in a bottom-up approach understanding emerges from the experience. (27)

PHYSICAL INTELLIGENCE DOMAINS FOR PROGRAMMING LEADERS

Cognitive performance optimization represents a critical domain of physical intelligence for statistical programming leaders. Research demonstrates that physical states significantly influence cognitive functions essential for programming leadership, including attention, working memory, and executive function. (25) Simple interventions like maintaining proper posture can enhance acetylcholine delivery to the brain, improving cognitive function and decision-making capacity. Similarly, movement breaks can counteract any cognitive deficiency associated with prolonged sedentary work, enhancing problem-solving capacity and creative thinking. (8)

Stress regulation models offer valuable frameworks for understanding how physical intelligence contributes to leadership effectiveness in statistical programming environments. The aforementioned field presents numerous stressors, including tight deadlines, complex analytical challenges, and high-stakes outcomes with direct implication for patient safety. Physical intelligence enables leaders to recognize early physiological indicators of stress and implement targeted interventions to restore optimal functioning. Techniques like paced and controlled breathing have been shown to produce dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA), a vitality hormone, that neutralizes the negative effects of cortisol and enhances cognitive resilience. (8)

Attention restoration theory provides insights into how physical intelligence can counteract the attention fatigue common in digital workspaces. This theory proposes that directed attention – critical requirement for programming activities – is a limited resource that becomes weaker with prolonged use. Natural environments provide particularly effective restoration of attention resources, with even brief exposure to natural scenes enhancing subsequent cognitive performance. (28) For statistical programming leaders, incorporating attention restoration principles through environmental design, strategic breaks, and nature exposure can enhance team cognitive performance and resilience.

The integration of physical and cognitive dimensions in leadership decision-making represents an application of physical intelligence. Research in embodied cognition demonstrates that physical states directly influence decision patterns, with posture, movement, and interoceptive awareness shaping judgement and choice. (27) Leaders who develop physical intelligence demonstrate enhanced awareness of how their physical states influence decision-making, enabling more balanced integration of analytical reasoning and embodied insight. This integration proves particularly valuable in statistical programming contexts, where decisions often require balancing analytical precision with intuitive pattern recognition.

THEORETICAL INTEGRATION MODEL: THE EQ-PQ SYNERGY FRAMEWORK

SYNERGISTIC INTELLIGENCE FRAMEWORK

The integration of emotional and physical intelligence represents more than an additive combination of separate competencies; it creates emergent leadership capabilities that exceed the sum of their constituent parts. Research demonstrates that EQ-PQ interaction effects on leadership are evident through multiple interconnected pathways that fundamentally transform how leaders navigate complex statistical programming environments. When leaders develop both emotional and physical intelligence simultaneously, they demonstrate enhanced interoceptive awareness that enables real-time recognition of stress signals and their impact on the quality of decisions – a critical capacity in high-stakes regulatory environments where analytical precision must be maintained under pressure. (29)

Systems thinking provides the theoretical foundation for conceptualizing the synergistic relationship between emotional and physical intelligence. Rather than viewing EQ and PQ as discrete leadership attributes, systems thinking recognizes their dynamic interdependence within the leader as a complex adaptive system. (30) This perspective reveals that emotional regulation directly influences physiological states, while physical awareness enhances emotional granularity and self-management capacity. In statistical programming contexts, this integrated intelligence enables leaders to maintain cognitive clarity during complex problem-solving, while simultaneously concentrating on team dynamics and individual stress responses.

Mapping the EQ-PQ framework to real leadership competencies highlights specific capabilities that emerge from this integration.

- Resilient decision-making represents the capacity to maintain analytical rigor under pressure by integrating emotional awareness with physiological regulation. When statistical programming leaders encounter conflicting stakeholder demands or regulatory challenges, EQ-PQ integration enables them to recognize their stress responses (physical intelligence) while managing emotional reactions and empathizing with team concerns (emotion intelligence), resulting in more balanced and sustainable decisions.
- Authentic presence emerges as leaders learn to embody their values through both emotional harmony and physical alignment. Research in embodied cognition emphasizes that physical posture and presence directly influence how other perceive leadership credibility and trustworthiness. (31) Statistical programming leaders who integrate EQ and PQ naturally project confidence and approachability, creating psychological safety for team members to raise technical concerns or propose innovative solutions.
- Sustainable performance represents perhaps the most critical integrated competency for statistical programming leadership. Traditional approaches to technical leadership often result in burnout as leaders push through stress without realizing or understanding its physiological and emotional impacts. The EQ-PQ integration enables leaders to recognize early warning signs of exhaustion (physical intelligence), understanding their emotional patterns under stress (emotional intelligence), and proactively adjust their approach to maintain consistent high performance over time. (32)
- Enhanced adaptive problem-solving enables leaders to utilize both analytical and intuitive processing models. Physical intelligence provides access to somatic marker that guide complex decisions (33), while emotional intelligence enables leaders to integrate diverse perspectives and maintain stakeholder alignment throughout solution development. (34) In statistical programming environments, where technical solutions must balance scientific rigor with practical constraints, this integrated approach proves particularly valuable.

IMPLEMENTATION SCIENCE FRAMEWORK FOR EQ-PQ INTEGRATION

The successful translation of EQ-PQ theoretical framework into operation practice within statistical programming organizations requires systematic application of implementation science principles. Implementation science provides evidence-based approaches for overcoming the common barriers that prevent innovative leadership practices from being accepted in technical and analytical organizations. (35) These frameworks acknowledge that statistical programming organizations possess unique cultural characteristics – including emphasis on methodological precision, regulatory compliance, and evidence-based decision-making – that can either support or block leadership transformation initiatives.

Diffusion of innovation theory offers particularly relevant insights for introducing EQ-PQ approaches in statistical programming organizations. Rogers' framework identifies five critical factors that influence adoption rates: relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, and observability. (36,37) For EQ-PQ leadership development, **relative advantage** must be demonstrated through clear connections to performance outcomes valued by technical professionals – such as improved code quality, enhanced team productivity, reduced errors, and more efficient regulatory submissions. **Compatibility** requires aligning EQ-PQ development with existing values of scientific rigor and continuous improvements, positioning these capabilities as evidence-based approaches to leadership organization rather than "soft skill" addition. (38) The **complexity** factor suggests that EQ-PQ integration should be introduced through manageable, incremental steps rather than comprehensive overhauls. Leaders in analytical and technical fields typically respond well to structured, measurable approaches to skill development that mirror the analytical framework they use in their technical work. **Trialability** can be enhanced by creating low-risk opportunities for leaders to experiment with EQ-PQ practices, such as mindfulness exercises before critical meetings, or structured reflection processes after challenging interactions. **Observability** requires making the benefits of EQ-PQ integration visible through metrics and outcomes that resonate with technical professionals. (39)

Organizational readiness for change emerges as a critical element of implementation success. As indicated by research, readiness encompasses both psychological factors (beliefs about change necessity and efficacy) and structural factors (resource availability and process capabilities). (39) For statistical programming organizations, psychological readiness often depends on demonstrating the scientific foundation of EQ-PQ approaches and their relevance to technical performance. Leaders need evidence that these practices enhance rather than detract from analytical rigor. (39) Structural readiness requires ensuring the organizational systems support EQ-PQ deployment. This includes integrating their competencies into leadership development programs, performance evaluation criteria, and succession planning processes. Statistical programming organizations often possess strong learning cultures that can be leveraged to support this integration, provided the approaches are presented within familiar frameworks of competency development and evidence-based practice.

Effectiveness evaluation and knowledge translation models provide frameworks for assessing EQ-PQ implementation impact and refining approaches based on empirical evidence. Such models emphasize the importance of defining clear success metrics that align with organizational priorities while capturing even minor impacts on leadership development.

(40) For statistical programming contexts, relevant metrics might include team psychological safety scores, conflict resolution effectiveness, innovation metrics (such as process improvement suggestions), and retention rates among high-performing technical staff. This evaluation framework should also incorporate leading indicators (e.g., participation in EQ-PQ development activities, self-reported confidence in leadership capabilities, observed behavioural changes, etc.) and lagging indicators (e.g., team performance metrics, stakeholder satisfaction scores, submission quality and timeline adherence, etc.). This balanced approach would enable both real-time course correction and thorough assessment of long-term impact, aligning with the evidence-based decision-making culture characteristic of statistical programming organizations.

Knowledge translation within this framework involves systematically sharing successful practices across the organization and within the broader statistical programming community. The creation of such feedback loops would enable continuous refinement of EQ-PQ approaches based on diverse implementation experiences, ultimately building a community of practice around human-centred leadership in statistical programming.

IMPLEMENTATION ROADMAP FOR TECHNICAL ORGANIZATIONS

CULTURAL TRANSFORMATION STRATEGIES

The successful translation of EQ-PQ theoretical frameworks into operational practice within statistical programming organizations requires a “theoretical-to-practical translation methodology” that acknowledges the unique analytical culture of these environments. This methodology must bridge the apparent gap between evidence-based leadership research and the pragmatic demands of regulatory compliance, methodological rigor, and technical excellence that define statistical programming excellence. The translation process begins with reframing EQ-PQ competencies within familiar analytical frameworks, positioning these capabilities as measurable skills that enhance rather than compete with technical proficiency. This approach leverages the natural inclination of statistical programmers toward evidence-based decision-making by presenting EQ-PQ development as a systematic optimization of human performance variables that directly influence technical outcomes. (41)

Effective implementation demands an adaptive approach based on organizational maturity that recognizes statistical programming organizations exist at different stages of human-centred leadership readiness. Organizations at the initial maturity level typically exhibit hero-dependent performance patterns where individual technical expertise drives success, with limited emphasis on collaborative leadership or systematic people development. Such organizations benefit from foundational interventions that demonstrate concrete connections between EQ-PQ competencies and technical performance metrics, such as improved code review processes and enhanced cross-functional communication. Intermediate maturity organizations have established consistent technical practices but may lack integrated approaches to leadership development. These organizations can implement more sophisticated EQ-PQ interventions, including structured mentoring programs, psychological safety assessments, and competency-based leadership development initiatives. Advanced maturity organizations possess the infrastructure and cultural foundation to implement comprehensive EQ-PQ integration, embedding those competencies into performance management succession planning, and organizational design processes. (42,43)

Systems change theory application provides the theoretical foundations for sustainable leadership transformation within statistical programming organizations. Systems change recognizes that effective transformation requires addressing multiple interconnected dimensions simultaneously:

- mental models: beliefs about what constitutes effective leadership
- structures: organizational design and reporting relationships
- processes: decision-making and communication patterns
- relationships: trust networks and collaborative dynamics

In statistical programming contexts, this might involve challenging predominant mental models that equate leadership effectiveness solely with technical expertise. Implementation would include restructuring teams to enhance psychological safety, implementing processes that integrate EQ-PQ assessment into project management methodologies, and fostering relationships that support both technical excellence and human development. The systems approach acknowledges that sustainable change requires to build alliances across multiple organizational levels – from sponsors who allocate resources and model desired behaviours, to frontline supervisors who directly influence team dynamics, to individual contributors who ultimately embody the new leadership paradigm. (44–46)

METRICS FOR ADOPTION

The theoretical basis for leadership effectiveness measurement in EQ-PQ contexts draws from multiple complementary frameworks that emphasize both behavioural observation and outcome assessment. Leadership effectiveness measurement theory suggests that valid assessment requires multiple perspectives (self, peer, direct report, supervisor), multiple dimensions (cognitive, emotional, behavioural, results), and multiple timepoints (baseline, progress monitoring, outcome evaluation). (47) In statistical programming organizations, this translates to measurement approaches that capture both the technical and human dimensions of leadership performance. The theoretical foundation emphasizes that leadership effectiveness manifests through observable behaviours that can be systematically tracked and developed, aligning with the data-driven culture characteristic of statistical programming environments.

A structured framework for measuring leadership transformation across multiple organizational perspectives for EQ-PQ leadership could be provided by the balanced scorecard adaptation. A traditional balanced scorecard encompasses financial, customer, internal process, and learning/growth perspectives, which can be adapted for EQ-PQ leadership assessment in statistical programming contexts. (48) The financial perspective could include metrics such as project completion rates, regulatory submission success, cost per analysis, and resource utilization efficiency that demonstrate the business impact of enhanced leadership capabilities. The stakeholder perspective encompasses both internal stakeholders (team engagement, cross-functional collaboration quality, psychological safety scores) and external stakeholders (regulatory agency feedback, clinical team satisfaction, data quality assessments). The internal process perspective focuses on leadership processes themselves, including decision-making effectiveness, conflict resolution speed and quality, knowledge transfer efficiency, and innovation pipeline development. The learning and growth perspective measures EQ-PQ capability development through competency assessments, skill application observations, and individual development progress tracking. (49)

Leading and lagging indicators for implementation success provide complementary perspectives on EQ-PQ leadership adoption that enable both proactive management and retrospective evaluation. Leading indicators measure inputs and activities that predict future leadership effectiveness, including participation rates in EQ-PQ development programs, frequency of practice application (such as regular check-ins using emotional awareness techniques, implementation of stress regulation practices during high-pressure periods), behavioural observation scores from 360-degree feedback processes, and early adoption metrics (such as voluntary application of psychological safety principles in team meetings). These indicators enable real-time course correction and demonstrate commitment to the transformation process. Lagging indicators measure outcomes that demonstrate actual impact on team and organizational performance, including team performance metrics (productivity improvement, quality enhancement, innovation measures), employee experience outcomes (engagement scores, retention rates, internal promotion rates), stakeholder satisfaction assessment (peer leadership ratings, direct report confidence measures), and business results (regulatory submission quality, timeline adherence, cost effectiveness). The balanced application of leading and lagging indicators creates a comprehensive measurement framework that supports both tactical adjustment and strategic validation of the EQ-PQ integration initiative. (47,50)

In order, though, to assess how effectively EQ-PQ research insights are being adopted and adapted within specific statistical programming contexts, knowledge translation evaluation principles need to be incorporated in the measurement framework. This includes tracking the spread of successful practices across teams and departments, measuring how closely actual practice matches intended EQ-PQ principles, documenting adaptations made to fit local contexts, and evaluating sustainability over time. (51) The knowledge translation perspective ensures that EQ-PQ leadership development evolves based on empirical evidence of what works in statistical programming environments, creating a feedback loop that continuously refines the implementation approach and builds a community of practice around human-centred technical leadership.

CONCLUSION

FUTURE DIRECTIONS IN HUMAN-CENTRIC LEADERSHIP

The advancement of EQ-PQ integration in statistical programming leadership requires a comprehensive knowledge co-creation model for industry advancement that transcends traditional organizational boundaries and fosters collaborative innovation across the pharmaceutical data science ecosystem. This model emphasizes joint knowledge production through systematic partnerships between statistical programming organizations, industry leaders, data scientists, and regulatory bodies. Such collaboration creates an environment where diverse stakeholder perspectives contribute to leadership development frameworks. (52) The knowledge co-creation approach proves particularly relevant for statistical programming, where the rapid evolution of AI-driven analytics, regulatory requirements, and global team dynamics necessitates collective problem-solving. Through structured initiatives, the statistical programming community can systematically develop and validate EQ-PQ leadership practices, creating shared repositories of evidence-based approaches that benefit the entire field.

Cross-organizational learning systems represent a critical infrastructure for scaling EQ-PQ leadership development across the statistical programming industry. These systems facilitate the structured exchange of experiences, insights, and best practices among organizations while maintaining appropriate competitive boundaries. Effective cross-organizational learning requires establishing clear processes for knowledge sharing, including standardized assessment methodologies, common terminology frameworks, and agreed-upon success metrics that enable meaningful comparison and adaptation of practices across diverse organization contexts. The implementation of such systems within the statistical programming community could leverage existing professional networks and conferences to create opportunities for leadership development knowledge exchange, potentially through case study presentations, networks, and research initiatives that document the real-world impact of EQ-PQ integration on team performance and organizational outcomes. (53,54)

Based on the current literature on EQ-PQ leadership integration in statistical programming contexts, several theoretical gaps emerge requiring empirical investigation:

- Understanding the impact of EQ versus PQ interventions on specific technical performance outcomes.

- Investigating the role of psychological safety in the relationship between leader competencies and team innovations metrics.
- Exploring how cultural differences across global statistical programming teams influence the effectiveness of EQ-PQ leadership approaches. (55)

Additional research is also needed to examine the sustainability of EQ-PQ leadership development interventions over time, particularly in high-turnover technical environments, and to investigate how emerging technologies, such as AI and Machine Learning, affect the human dimensions of statistical programming leadership.

Methodological approaches for mixed-methods leadership research could offer particularly promising pathways for advancing understanding of EQ-PQ integration in statistical programming contexts. Mixed-methods research combines the precision of quantitative measurement with the contextual richness of qualitative exploration, allowing us to capture both the behavioural indicators and the underlying mechanisms of EQ-PQ leadership effectiveness. (56) Future research should explore quantitative assessments of EQ-PQ competencies and team performance outcomes in statistical programming environments, followed by qualitative investigations of how these competencies influence technical work effectiveness and regulatory submission quality. Furthermore, such research could simultaneously collect quantitative performance data and qualitative experience narratives to provide comprehensive understanding of how EQ-PQ leadership impacts both individual and team outcomes in statistical programming environments. (57)

REFERENCES

1. Sethia M, Sharma A. Wired for Success: Emotional Intelligence’s Role in the Software Lifecycle. International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research [Internet]. 2025;7(2). Available from: https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2025/2/43149.pdf
2. Column Team. Why Emotional Intelligence Is the Most Underrated Skill for Tech Leaders [Internet]. 2025. Available from: https://columncontent.com/emotional-intelligence-tech-leaders/
3. Rodrigues N, Matos C. The Relationship between Managers’ Emotional Intelligence and Project Management Decisions. Adm Sci. 2024 Sep;14:318.
4. Join The Collective. Emotional Intelligence in Tech Leadership: Enhancing Team Dynamics [Internet]. 2024. Available from: https://www.jointhecollective.com/article/cultivating-emotional-intelligence-in-tech-leadership–strategies-for-enhancing-team-dynamics/
5. Marenus M. Gardner’s Theory of Multiple Intelligences [Internet]. 2025. Available from: https://www.simplypsychology.org/multiple-intelligences.html
6. Winter T. Models of Emotional Intelligence [Internet]. 2016. Available from: https://blog.hptbydts.com/models-of-emotional-intelligence
7. Uluç ÖS. A Milestone of Intelligent Development: Daniel Goleman’s Emotional Intelligence Theory - Experianta [Internet]. 2023. Available from: https://experianta.com/directory/concepts/a-milestone-of-intelligent-development-daniel-golemans-emotional-intelligence-theory/
8. Dale C, Peyton P. Physical Intelligence – Key to Workplace Performance [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://inbusinessphx.com/healthcare-wellness/physical-intelligence-key-to-workplace-performance
9. Maldonado IC, Márquez MDB. Emotional intelligence, leadership, and work teams: a hybrid literature review. Heliyon [Internet]. 2023;9:1–19. Available from: https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10543214/
10. Mayer JD, Caruso DR, Salovey P. The Ability Model of Emotional Intelligence: Principles and Updates. Emotion Review. 2016 Sep;8:290–300.
11. Bremner JD. Traumatic stress: effects on the brain. Dialogues Clin Neurosci [Internet]. 2006;8:445–61. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3181836/
12. Rizzolatti G, Craighero L. The Mirror Neuron System. Annu Rev Neurosci. 2004;27:169–92.
13. Decety J, Jackson PL. The Functional Architecture of Human Empathy. Behav Cogn Neurosci Rev [Internet]. 2004 Sep;3:71–100. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15537986/
14. Mayer JD, Salovey P, Caruso DR, Sitarenios G. Measuring emotional intelligence with the MSCEIT V2.0. Emotion. 2003;3:97–105.
15. Mayer JD, Caruso DR, Salovey P, Lin IY, Hansma BJ, Solomon J, et al. Measuring emotional intelligence with the MSCEIT 2: theory, rationale, and initial findings. Front Psychol. 2025 Sep;16.
16. Kilmann T. Thomas-Kilmann conflict MODE instrument [Internet]. 1976. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265565339_Thomas-Kilmann_conflict_MODE_instrument
17. Grandey AA. Emotional regulation in the workplace: A new way to conceptualize emotional labor. J Occup Health Psychol. 2000;5:95–110.
18. Cropanzano R, Mitchell MS. Social Exchange theory: an Interdisciplinary Review. J Manage. 2005 Sep;31:874–900.
19. Smith CA, Lazarus RS. Emotion and Adaptation. Contemp Sociol. 1991 Sep;21:522.
20. Deci EL, Ryan RM. The ‘What’ and ‘Why’ of Goal Pursuits: Human needs and the Self-Determination of Behavior. Psychol Inq. 2000;11:227–68.
21. Eccles JS, Wigfield A. Motivational Beliefs, Values, and Goals. Annu Rev Psychol [Internet]. 2002;53:109–32. Available from: https://www.annualreviews.org/content/journals/10.1146/annurev.psych.53.100901.135153
22. Locke EA, Latham GP. A Theory of Goal Setting & Task Performance [Internet]. 1990. Available from:

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/232501090_A_Theory_of_Goal_Setting_Task_Performance
23. Deci EL, Koestner R, Ryan RM. A meta-analytic Review of Experiments Examining the Effects of Extrinsic Rewards on Intrinsic motivation. Psychol Bull [Internet]. 1999;125:627–68. Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10589297/
24. Csikszentmihalyi M. Flow: the Psychology of Optimal Experience [Internet]. Harper & Row; 1990. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224927532_Flow_The_Psychology_of_Optimal_Experience
25. Sarmiento LF, Ríos-Flórez JA, Rincón A, Lima RR, Kalenscher T, Gouveia A, et al. Do stress hormones influence choice? A systematic review of pharmacological interventions on the HPA axis and/or SAM system. Soc Cogn Affect Neurosci [Internet]. 2024 Sep;19. Available from: https://academic.oup.com/scan/article/19/1/nsae069/7810264
26. Da Costa Silva L, Belrose C, Trousselard M, Rea B, Seery E, Verdonk C, et al. Self-Reported Body Awareness: Validation of the Postural Awareness Scale and the Multidimensional Assessment of Interoceptive Awareness (Version 2) in a Non-clinical Adult French-Speaking Sample. Front Psychol. 2022 Sep;13.
27. Schmitt CM, Schoen S. Interoception: A Multi-Sensory Foundation of Participation in Daily Life. Front Neurosci. 2022 Sep;16.
28. Kaplan S. The restorative benefits of nature: Toward an integrative framework. J Environ Psychol. 1995 Sep;15:169–82.
29. Paredes-Saavedra M, Vallejos M, Huancahuire-Vega S, Morales-García WC, Geraldo-Campos LA. Work Team Effectiveness: Importance of Organizational Culture, Work Climate, Leadership, Creative Synergy, and Emotional Intelligence in University Employees. Adm Sci [Internet]. 2024 Sep;14:280. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-3387/14/11/280
30. Uhlir K. The Power of Systems Thinking in Leadership: Solving Complex Business Challenges - CEON Foundation [Internet]. 2025. Available from: https://ceonetweavers.org/systems-thinking/
31. Pallathadka H, Roy PD. Ajit Doval: Strategic Architecture of Intelligence and Deterrence in the 21st Century A Comprehensive Multi-Theoretical Analysis of India’s Transformation Under a Master Strategist. Integrated Journal for Research in Arts and Humanities [Internet]. 2025 Sep;5:354–79. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/393045992_Ajit_Doval_Strategic_Architecture_of_Intelligence_and_Deterrence_in_the_21st_Century_A_Comprehensive_Multi-Theoretical_Analysis_of_India
32. Day D V, Dannhäuser L. Reconsidering Leadership Development: From Programs to Developmental Systems. Behavioral Sciences [Internet]. 2024 Sep;14:548. Available from: https://www.mdpi.com/2076-328X/14/7/548
33. Bechara A, Damasio H, Tranel D, Damasio AR. The Iowa Gambling Task and the somatic marker hypothesis: some questions and answers. Trends Cogn Sci. 2005 Sep;9:159–62.
34. Singh K, Prakash R, Rajpoot HS, Satapathy P, Rajpoot S. The Role of Emotional Intelligence in Effective Leadership and Decision- Making. Library Progress (International) [Internet]. 2024 Sep;44(3):P.7329-7338. Available from: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384647961_The_Role_of_Emotional_Intelligence_in_Effective_Leadership_and_Decision-_Making
35. Khan S. Making Implementation Science Work for Your Change Initiatives [Internet]. The Center for Implementation; 2023. Available from: https://thecenterforimplementation.com/toolbox/making-implementation-science-work-for-change-initiatives
36. Wikipedia. Diffusion of innovations [Internet]. Wikimedia Foundation; 2019. Available from: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diffusion_of_innovations
37. Rogers E. DIFFUSION OF INNOVATIONS Third Edition [Internet]. 1962. Available from: https://teddykw2.wordpress.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/07/everett-m-rogers-diffusion-of-innovations.pdf
38. Dearing JW. Applying Diffusion of Innovation Theory to Intervention Development. Res Soc Work Pract. 2009;19:503–18.
39. Weiner BJ. A Theory of Organizational Readiness for Change. Implementation Science [Internet]. 2009 Sep;4. Available from: https://implementationscience.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1748-5908-4-67
40. Canadian Institutes of Health Research of Canada. Section 6.1: Methodologies to Evaluate the Effectiveness of Knowledge Translation Interventions - Knowledge Translation in Health Care - CIHR [Internet]. 2010. Available from: https://cihr-irsc.gc.ca/e/41954.html
41. Kodithuwakku HYA, Wickramarachchi R. Determining the relationship between Organizational Characteristics and Digital Transformation Strategies - A Systematic Literature Review | IEEE Conference Publication | IEEE Xplore [Internet]. 2023. Available from: https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/10145670
42. Eby K. The Complete Guide to Organizational Maturity: Models, Levels, and Assessments [Internet]. 2022. Available from: https://www.smartsheet.com/content/organizational-maturity
43. Gardner R. Leading a Successful Cultural Transformation at Your Organization [Internet]. 2021. Available from: https://www.aihr.com/blog/cultural-transformation/
44. Dreier L, Nabarro SD, Nelson J. What is systems leadership, and how can it change the world? [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://www.weforum.org/stories/2019/09/systems-leadership-can-change-the-world-but-what-does-it-mean/
45. Goldie M, Angeline R. Leading systems change: Three key lessons [Internet]. 2023. Available from: https://www.socialfinance.org.uk/insights/leading-system-change-three-key-lessons#
46. Dreier L, Nabarro D, Nelson J. Systems Leadership for Sustainable Development: Strategies for Achieving Systemic Change [Internet]. 2019. Available from:

https://www.hks.harvard.edu/sites/default/files/centers/mrcbg/files/Systems%20Leadership.pdf
47. Savkin A. 3 Perspectives to Measure Leadership Effectiveness [Internet]. Available from: https://bscdesigner.com/leadership-effectiveness.htm
48. Hayes A. What is a balanced scorecard (BSC)? Examples and uses [Internet]. 2025. Available from: https://www.investopedia.com/terms/b/balancedscorecard.asp
49. Kaplan R, Norton D. The Balanced Scorecard- Measures that Drive Performance [Internet]. 1992. Available from: https://oxfordexecutive.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2024/07/The-Balanced-Scorecard%E2%80%94Measures-that-Drive-Performance.pdf
50. Emmonds J. Employee engagement metrics: lagging and leading indicators – Vestd blog [Internet]. 2019. Available from: https://www.vestd.com/blog/employee-engagement-metrics-lagging-and-leading-indicators
51. Gagnon MP, Labarthe J, Légaré F, Ouimet M, Estabrooks CA, Roch G, et al. Measuring organizational readiness for knowledge translation in chronic care. Implementation Science. 2011 Sep;6.
52. Pearce T, Maple M, Shakeshaft A, Wayland S, McKay K. What is the Co-Creation of New Knowledge? A Content Analysis and Proposed Definition for Health Interventions. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Sep;17:2229.
53. Feed the Minds. Cross-organisational learning: improving effectiveness [Internet]. 2013. Available from: https://www.globalhealthpartnerships.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/07/Feed-the-Minds-Cross-organisational-learning-guidelines-final.pdf
54. Basten D, Haamann T. Approaches for Organizational Learning: A Literature Review. Sage Open [Internet]. 2019 Sep;8:215824401879422. Available from: https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/full/10.1177/2158244018794224
55. Kitson AL. The need for systems change: reflections on knowledge translation and organizational change. J Adv Nurs. 2009 Sep;65:217–28.
56. Burbaugh B. The Case for Mixed Methods Leadership Research [Internet]. 2020. Available from: https://blogs.ifas.ufl.edu/volusiaco/2020/12/10/the-case-for-mixed-methods-leadership-research/
57. Hastings LJ. Don't be afraid to eat the whole whale! Using mixed methods to enhance what we learn in leadership research and assessment. New Dir Stud Leadersh. 2022 Sep;2022:105–14.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the use of MSD's GPTeal (version Claude Opus 4.1) to create an initial breakdown skeleton of the paper's main sections, to proofread the final paper, and assist with the generation of cosmetic aspects of the relevant presentation.

CONTACT INFORMATION

Your comments and questions are valued and encouraged. Contact the author at:

Harris Kampouris
MSD (UK) Limited
120 Moorgate, London, EC2M 6UR, United Kingdom
harris.kampouris@msd.com
https://www.msd.com/

Lino Jardim
MSD
The Circle 66, 8058 Zürich, Switzerland
lino.jardim@msd.com
https://www.msd.com/